

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/759,508	DECKER, DAYNA M.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	CARL D. PRICE	3749

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) CARL D. PRICE. (3) MR. JACOB DOUGHTY.
 (2) MR. RICHARD MATTHEWS. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 14 May 2007.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: Sample candle devices appearing to be of the type disclosed and shown in the present application.

Claim(s) discussed: Those presently of record.

Identification of prior art discussed: See Continuation Sheet.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Identification of prior art discussed: SE9903818 (Ebeling) (English language translation provided); US006017373 (Frisch); FIREWOOD FOR YOUR FIREPLACE by Warren Donnelly; FR 2 811 676 (all of record); And, the following prior art references cited in parent application 10/300695 and now made of record in the present application (see the attached USPTO Form 892): Improvements Catalog, [Online], [Retrieved on January 11, 2005], Retrieved from the Internet: (<http://www.improvementscatalog.com/Parent.asp?product=240717&x&dept=%5Fid=300&subdept=%5Fid=304>); World Flame Catalog, [Online], [Retrieved on January 11, 2005], Retrieved from the Internet: (<http://www.golig.htmtheworld.com/Store/ProductJCategoryInfo.aspx?cid=7>); World Flame Catalog, [Online], [Retrieved on January 11, 2005], Retrieved from the Internet: (<http://www.golig.htmtheworld.com/Store/Product/CategoryInfo.aspx?cid=17>).

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Sample candle devices appearing to be of the type disclosed and shown in the present application. In this regard, Applicant's representative noted the flame produced by the candle device generates a constant audible sound, few sparks and cools quickly when extinguished. Differences between the prior art of record and the claimed invention were discussed.